IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.S.RADHAKRISHNAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

THURSDAY, THE 14TH JUNE 2007 / 24TH JYAISHTA 1929

RP.No. 388 of 2001(S)

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT/ORDER IN OP.10301/2001 Dated 03/05/2001
.....

PETITIONER:

KUNJAMMU, W/O.LATE ABOO,
PAZHAYANALAKATH, PUTHUVAIPU P.O,
SOUTH PUTHUVAIPU.

BY ADV. SRI.L.P.ARAVINDAKSHAN
SRI.K.VIJAYACHANDRA MENON
SRI.B.RAMACHANDRAN

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. **UNION OF INDIA REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY, MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION(, KRISHI BHAVAN, NEW DELHI.**

2. **THE DIRECTOR, FISHERY SURVEY OF INDIA, BOTAWALA CHAMBERS, SIR P.M.ROAD, BOMBAY.**

3. **THE DIRECTOR, CENTRAL INSTITUTE OF FISHERIES NAUTICAL AND ENGINEERING TRAINING (CIFNET), DIWAN'S ROAD, KOCHI 682 016.**

4. **THE DIRECTOR, EXPLORATORY FISHERIES PROJECT, 12/198, KOCHANGADI, COCHIN 682 005.**

5. **THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, ERNAKULAM BENCH, REPRESENTED BY ITS REGISTRAR.**

BY ADV. SRI.K.RAMAKUMAR, SCGSC
SRI. AJITH KRISHNAN, ADDL.CGSC

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 14/06/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

K.S.RADHAKRISHNAN & ANTONY DOMINIC, JJ.

==========

R.P. NO. 388 OF 2001

IN

O.P. NO.10301 OF 2001

==========

Dated this the 14th day of June, 2007

O R D E R

Radhakrishnan, J.

We find no reason to entertain this review petition. Review petitioner could not establish that her husband was an employee of the Fishery Survey of India. It is on that ground OP was dismissed. No further point is raised. In the circumstances, review petition is not maintainable. Review petition is therefore dismissed.

K.S.RADHAKRISHNAN, JUDGE.

ANTONY DOMINIC, JUDGE.

Rp